

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|------------------|
| 10/050,102 | 01/18/2002 | Norman G. Anderson | 2315-150 | 9065 |
| | 7590 07/30/2002 | NRECK PC | EXAMI | NER |
| 1425 K STREE | ., FIGG, ERNST & MA ET, N.W. | MDLOK, 1.O. | LU, FRANK | |
| SUITE 800 WASHINGTO | N, DC 20005 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1634 DATE MAILED: 07/30/2002 | . 4 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| <u> </u> | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|---|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 10/050,102 | Anderson, N. | | | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | | Frank Lu | 1634 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address | | | | | | |
| Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE <u>1</u> MONTH(S) FROM | | | | | | |
| THE N - Exten after S - If tho - Failur - Any fe | DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period e to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutely received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dispatch term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH: | be timely filed 0) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| 1)🖂 | Responsive to communication(s) filed on | · · | | | | |
| 2a) <u></u> ☐ | 7,110 404.011 10 1 11 11 | his action is non-final. | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Dispositi | closed in accordance with the practice under on of Claims | Ex parte Quayle, 1000 0.5. | 11, 100 010. 2.11 | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-56</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| 5) | Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| 6)□ | Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) <u>1-56</u> are subject to restriction and/o | r election requirement. | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| | The specification is objected to by the Examir | | - Evaminer | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | | | | | | |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | |
| 1 | a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). | | | | | | |
| a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. | | | | | | |
| Attachme | | | | | | |
| 2) 🗆 No | tice of References Cited (PTO-892) tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(| 5) Notice of I | Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Itailed Action . | | | |

.,,

DETAILED ACTION

Location of Application

1. The Art Unit location of your application in the PTO has changed. To aid in correlating any papers for this application, all further correspondence regarding this application should be directed to Art Unit 1634.

Election/Restriction

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-51 and 54-56, drawn to a method for concentrating microorganism from a biological sample, classified in class 435, subclass 7.2.
 - II. Claims 52 and 53, drawn to a method for concentrating microorganism from a biological sample classified in class 530, subclass 300.
- 3. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Groups I and II are distinct and independent inventions in that they are directed to methods which comprise different method steps. As a result, different and distinct searches will have to be performed. For example, the search required for Group II such as concentrating microorganism in a centrifuge tube wherein the lower region of the centrifuge tube has a sufficiently small bore to hold an air bubble in it with an aqueous solution below it and in the middle region of claim 52 is not required for Group I.

4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group II is not required for Group I (for reason, see above), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

- 5. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:
- (1) a centrifuge tube at velocities high enough to band mycoplasmas (claim 8)
- (2) a centrifuge tube at velocities high enough to band virus (claim 9)
- (3) a centrifuge tube at velocities high enough to band yeast (claim 26)
- (4) a centrifuge tube at velocities high enough to band bacteria (claim 27)

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, generic claims are claims 1-7, 10-25, and 28-56.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 6. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:
- (1) the ultracentrifuge tube wherein its upper region, middle region and lower region have outer diameters equal to each other (claims 11 and 29);
- (2) the ultracentrifuge tube wherein its upper region have outer diameter larger than an outerdiameter of lower region (claims 12 and 30)

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, generic claims are claims are claims 1-10, 13-28, and 31-56.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after

Application/Control Number: 10/050,102

Art Unit: 1634

the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 7. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:
- (1) lower region of a centrifuge tube is smaller than 0.25 inch (claims 4, 22, and 41)
- (2) lower region of a centrifuge tube is smaller than 0.1 inch (claims 13, 31, and 44)
- (3) lower region of a centrifuge tube is in the range 0.08-0.1 inch (claims 14, 32, and 45)
- (4) lower region of a centrifuge tube is in the range 0.039-0.08 inch (claims 15, 33, and 46)
- (5) lower region of a centrifuge tube is 0.064 inch (claims 16, 34, and 47)

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, generic claims are claims 1-3, 5-12, 17-21, 23-30, 35-40, 42, 43, and 48-56.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable

thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

5. Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Group 1600 via the PTO Fax Center located in Crystal Mall 1. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notices published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1988), 1156 OG 61 (November 16, 1993), and 1157 OG 94 (December 28, 1993)(See 37 CAR § 1.6(d)). The CM Fax Center number is either (703) 308-4242 or (703)305-3014.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Frank Lu, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (703) 305-1270. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9 A.M. to 5 P.M.

Application/Control Number: 10/050,102 Page 7

Art Unit: 1634

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, W. Gary Jones, can be reached on (703) 308-1152.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the patent Analyst of the Art Unit, Ms. Chantae Dessau, whose telephone number is (703) 605-1237.

Frank Lu

July 23, 2002